GCSE (9–1)

History B (Schools History Project)

J411/13: The People's Health, c.1250 to present with Britain in Peace and War 1900-1918

General Certificate of Secondary Education

Mark Scheme for June 2019

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2019

Annotations

Stamp	*Annotation Name*	*Description*
✓ 1	Tick 1	Level 1
✓ 2	Tick 2	Level 2
✓ 3	Tick 3	Level 3
✓ 4	Tick 4	Level 4
✓ 5	Tick 5	Level 5
✓ 6	Tick 6	Level 6
SEEN	SEEN	Noted but no credit given
NAQ	NAQ	Not answered question
∿∿∿	Wavy Line	Development / Evidence / Support of valid point
BP	BP	Blank page

Mark scheme

Section A: The People's Health, c.1250 to present

Question 1–3 marks **(a) Name one way people in towns obtained water in the period 1250-1500.** **(b) Give one example of what people in the first half of the nineteenth century believed caused cholera.** **(c) Give one example of how people responded to Spanish Flu 1918-1919.**	
Guidance	**Indicative content**
1(a) – 1 mark for any answer that offers an historically valid response drawing on knowledge of characteristic features (AO1)	*For 1(a), likely valid responses include: conduit; well; water seller; river/stream.* *For 1(b), likely valid responses include: miasma; God; transmitted by touch.* *For 1(c,) likely valid responses include: use of air filter/face mask; isolation flu advice leaflets; films shown in cinemas; closure of some public institutions; health visitors sent door to door* Any other historically valid response is acceptable and should be credited.
1(b) – 1 mark for any answer that offers an historically valid response drawing on knowledge of characteristic features (AO1)	
1(c) – 1 mark for any answer that offers an historically valid response drawing on knowledge of characteristic features (AO1)	

Question 2–9 marks **Write a clear and organised summary that analyses people's lives in Britain in the period 1750-1900. Support your summary with examples.**	
Levels	**Notes and guidance specific to the question set**

<table>
<tr><td>AO1 Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the periods studied. Maximum 6 marks

AO2 Explain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-order historical concepts. Maximum 3 marks</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>Level 3 (7–9 marks)

Demonstrates a well-selected range of valid knowledge of characteristic features that are fully relevant to the question, in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1).
The way the summary is organised shows sustained logical coherence, demonstrating clear use of at least one second order concept in finding connections and providing a logical chain of reasoning to summarise the historical situation in the question (AO2).</td><td rowspan="4">Answers should show connections in the situation defined in the question and use these to organise the answer logically.

Answers could consider aspects of one or more of: aspects of living conditions such as the urban environment (e.g. the growth of major cities and industrialisation), housing (e.g. new buildings, back to backs, draughty and damp, overcrowding), the quality of food, the provision of clean water, the disposal of waste. Urbanisation; getting the vote and the impact on public health policies; working conditions; class divisions; impact of new scientific discoveries

Use of conceptual understanding to organise the response might in this case involve the concept of change within the period. Or it may involve using significance of different aspects of their lives.

Answers may show use of second order concepts such as causation (why their lives changed), consequence (the impact of changes to their lives), diversity 9the differences between the lives of people in different classes or town/country.

Please note that answers do not need to name the second order concepts being used to organise their answer, but the concepts do need to be apparent from the connections and chains of reasoning in the summary in order to meet the AO2 descriptors (see levels descriptors).
No reward can be given for wider knowledge of the period that is unrelated to the topic in the question.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Level 2 (4–6 marks)

Demonstrates a range of knowledge of characteristic features that are relevant to the question, in ways that show understanding of them (AO1).
The way the summary is organised shows some logical coherence, demonstrating use of at least one second order concept in finding connections and providing a logical chain of reasoning to summarise the historical situation in the question (AO2).</td></tr>
<tr><td>Level 1 (1–3 marks)
Demonstrates some knowledge of characteristic features with some relevance to the question, in ways that show some limited understanding of them (AO1).
The summary shows a very basic logical coherence, demonstrating limited use of at least one second order concept in attempting to find connections and to provide a logical chain of reasoning to summarise the historical situation in the question (AO2).</td></tr>
<tr><td>0 marks

No response or no response worthy of credit.</td></tr>
</table>

Question 2–9 marks **Write a clear and organised summary that analyses people's lives in Britain in the period 1750-1900. Support your summary with examples.**	
Guidance and indicative content	
Level 3 (7–9 marks)	Answers at L3 will typically be organised around a second order concept such as causes/ consequences, change/continuity, diversity. Answers will be supported with two or more valid examples e.g. [Causation/consequence] *Between 1750 and 1900 people's lives could be very unhealthy. Partly this was because towns and cities had grown incredibly quickly during the Industrial Revolution, when people poured into the urban areas. This meant that many people lived in back-to-back housing, which was difficult to ventilate and people who lived in them often suffered diseases like tuberculosis. Another impact of the Industrial Revolution was that sewers were not geared up to carry away so much human waste. People in back-to-back housing shared privies, which often overflowed into the streets and courts, spreading disease.* *[Change]* *There were lots of improvements to people's lives between 1750 and 1900.Up until the mid-1800s town and cities suffered from a lack of sanitation, with things like lack of proper sewers. There were epidemics of cholera, caused by contaminated water. But by 1900 things were improving. For example, Joseph Bazalgette's new sewer system in London meant that fewer people died from a cholera outbreak in 1866. Also, the Public Health of 1875 made it compulsory for towns to take responsibility for things like water supplies and rubbish collection.* **Nutshell: Summary based on second order concept(s) with two or more valid supporting examples** *Other valid areas might include: Causation – reasons why things improved; causation – other reasons for unhealthy lives, e.g. lack of understanding, laissez-faire attitude, etc.; diversity – different lives of different groups of people.*
Level 2 (4–6 marks)	Answers at L2 will typically be organised around a second order concept, supported with a valid example e.g. [Causation] *Between 1750 and 1900 people's lives were unhealthy. One reason for this was there was a lack of understanding of what caused disease. The most popular view was the miasma theory – the belief about 'bad air'. This meant that when there were cholera epidemics, the authorities did not know that the cholera germ was in infected water. So they only took action like burning barrels of tar in the streets.* **Nutshell: Summary based on a second order concept with one valid supporting example**
Level 1 (1–3 marks)	Answers at L1 will typically list or describe relevant events or developments with no **clear** organisation around a second order concept e.g. *Between 1750 and 1900 people's lives were really bad. Water was dirty, housing was cramped and the towns were overcrowded. Joseph Bazalgette built new sewers.* *OR* *In terms of housing, people lived in cramped back-to-back houses where typhus was common. For water, whole streets commonly shared a single pump and it was often contaminated. Waste was a problem …. Food …* **Nutshell: List of events / developments with no clear organising concept.**
0 marks	

<table>
<tr><td colspan="2">Question 3–10 marks
Why did living conditions in medieval towns have such an important impact on people’s health? Explain your answer.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Levels
AO1 Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the periods studied. Maximum 5 marks
AO2 Explain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-order historical concepts. Maximum 5 marks</td><td>Notes and guidance specific to the question set</td></tr>
<tr><td>Level 5 (9–10 marks)
Demonstrates strong knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1).
Uses these to show sophisticated understanding of one or more second order concepts in a fully sustained and very well-supported explanation (AO2).</td><td rowspan="6">Explanations could consider: health was dependent on living conditions in houses (people often worked in homes so spent a lot of time there which would impact on health), the condition of streets and markets, very difficult to access clean water and remove waste safely; the impact of trades, especially the waste - the impact of these aspects on health. The contrast between rich and poor - merchants houses.

Explanations are most likely to show understanding of the second order concepts of consequence and significance but reward appropriate understanding of any other second order concept.

Answers which simply describe some of the features of medieval towns cannot reach beyond Level 1.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Level 4 (7–8 marks)
Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1).
Uses these to show strong understanding of one or more second order concepts in a sustained and well-supported explanation (AO2).</td></tr>
<tr><td>Level 3 (5–6 marks)
Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1).
Uses these to show sound understanding of one or more second order concepts in a generally coherent and organised explanation (AO2).</td></tr>
<tr><td>Level 2 (3–4 marks)
Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1).
Uses these to show some understanding of one or more second order concepts in a loosely organised explanation (AO2).</td></tr>
<tr><td>Level 1 (1–2 marks)
Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period (AO1).
Uses these to show some basic understanding of one or more second order concepts, although the overall response may lack structure and coherence (AO2).</td></tr>
<tr><td>0 marks
No response or no response worthy of credit.</td></tr>
</table>

Question 3–10 marks Why did living conditions in medieval towns have such an important impact on people's health? Explain your answer.	
Guidance and indicative content	
Level 5 (9-10 marks)	Level 5 answers will typically identify at least two reasons why living conditions in medieval towns have such an important impact on people's health and explain them fully e.g. *Living conditions in towns were important because they had a very negative impact on people's health. Firstly, waste was not properly disposed of; for example, butchers and fishmongers made a terrible mess and there was dung from animals in the streets. Although gongfermers emptied latrines at night, sometimes waste was just emptied into streams. This kind of pollution meant that disease was easily spread around the town.* *Food supply also had an important impact on health. For example, the carts which brought in fresh produce for people to eat were often the same carts which had been used to empty middens in the villages. Sometimes vendors made pies from old or rancid meat. So there were lots of hazards to people's health no matter how they got their food.* **Nutshell: Two or more reasons that living conditions were important identified, with the impact on people's health explained.**
Level 4 (7-8 marks)	Level 4 answers will typically identify at least one reasons why living conditions in medieval towns have such an important impact on people's health and explain it fully e.g. *Living conditions in towns were important because they had a very negative impact on people's health. Firstly, waste was not properly disposed of; for example, butchers and fishmongers made a terrible mess and there was dung from animals in the streets. Although gongfermers emptied latrines at night, sometimes waste was just emptied into streams. This kind of pollution meant that disease was easily spread around the town.* **Nutshell: One reason that living conditions were important identified, with the impact on people's health explained.** **NOTE Answers at L4 will often identify and describe several reasons but only fully explain one of them.**
Level 3 (5-6 marks)	Level 3 answers will typically identify at least one valid reason and its impact e.g. • *Living conditions in towns were important because waste was not properly disposed of so people got ill.* • *Living conditions were terrible with dirt everywhere and this caused illness.* **Nutshell: Identifies one or more valid reason(s) and impact but no supporting evidence** **NOTE: 5 marks for one reason identified; 6 marks for two or more**
Level 2 (3-4 marks)	Level 2 answers will typically contain correct general descriptions of living conditions in medieval towns or descriptions of people's health without linking these to the question, e.g. • *People used to throw waste into the streets.* • *It was almost impossible to get clean water.* **Nutshell: Describes conditions in towns or health or other relevant events**
Level 1 (1–2 marks)	Level 1 answers will typically contain general points or unsupported assertions e.g. *The towns were really unhealthy places to live.* *They had an important impact because hygiene was bad.* **Nutshell: Assertion(s)**
0 marks	

Question 4*–18 marks **How far do you agree that government responses to plague were more effective in the period 1500-1750 than the period 1250-1500? Give reasons for your answer.**	
Levels **AO1** Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the periods studied. **Maximum 6 marks** **AO2** Explain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-order historical concepts. **Maximum 12 marks**	**Notes and guidance specific to the question set**
Level 6 (16–18 marks) Demonstrates strong knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show very secure and thorough understanding of them (AO1). Shows sophisticated understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained, consistently focused and convincing explanation and reaching a very well-supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). *There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured.*	*Answers may be awarded some marks at Level 1 if they demonstrate knowledge of responses to the plague in the periods.* *It is possible to reach the highest marks either by agreeing or disagreeing or anywhere between, providing the response matches the level description. BUT to achieve the highest levels, answers must identify and consider the alternative point of view and both time periods.* *Answers are most likely to show understanding of the second order concepts of change and continuity and similarity and difference but reward appropriate understanding of any other second order concept.* *Grounds for agreeing include: plague orders were issued which would indicate it was being taken seriously, the policy of isolation was relatively successful (certainly in comparison to not being used in earlier period); in the Middle Ages the King did little more than write a letter; nationally more successful than locally.* *Grounds for disagreeing include: the plague still couldn't be explained therefore they couldn't prevent or treat it; local government tried to e.g. mayors and councils tried to keep their streets clean.*
Level 5 (13–15 marks) Demonstrates strong knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). Shows very strong understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained and convincing explanation and reaching a well-supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). *There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured.*	
Level 4 (10–12 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1).Shows strong understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained and generally convincing explanation to reach a supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). *There is a developed line of reasoning which is clear, relevant and logically structured.*	
Level 3 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Shows sound understanding of appropriate second order concepts in making a reasonably sustained attempt to explain ideas and reach a supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). *There is a line of reasoning presented which is mostly relevant and which has some structure.*	
Level 2 (4–6 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period in ways that show some	

understanding of them (AO1). Shows some understanding of appropriate second order concepts managing in a limited way to explain ideas and reach a loosely supported judgment about the issue in the question (AO2). *There is a line of reasoning which has some relevance and which is presented with limited structure.*	
Level 1 (1–3 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period (AO1). Shows some basic understanding of appropriate second order concept(s) but any attempt to explain ideas and reach a judgment on the issue in the question is unclear or lacks historical validity (AO2). *The information is communicated in a basic/unstructured way.*	
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

Question 4* – 18 marks How far do you agree that government responses to plague were more effective in the period 1500-1750 than the period 1250-1500? Give reasons for your answer.	
Guidance and indicative content	
Level 6 (16-18 marks)	Level 6 answers will typically set out an argument which compares **government** responses in both periods. Arguments will be explicitly supported by at least 4 valid examples. For 18 marks, candidates must present a valid clinching argument e.g. *Overall, I do agree with the statement. Government responses to plague were limited in the medieval period. For example, King Edward III wrote a letter to the Mayor of London in 1349 ordering him to clean the streets. This was not effective because it was based on a belief that the Plague was caused by miasma. The king also ordered bishops to parade through cities, confessing the nation's sins and praying the plague would disappear. Again, this was ineffective due to a lack of understanding of how the plague was really spread.* *On the other hand the government responses to plague after 1500 did have some impact. For example, in 1518 Henry VIII introduced the policy of isolation which caused houses infected with plague to be identified clearly. Mayors in all English towns followed this example and shut up infected houses. This was more effective because pneumonic plague spread by coughing. Elizabeth's Plague Orders of 1578 continued to enforce isolation, although they also took some ineffective measures, such as ordering special prayers to be said in church and the burning of barrels of tar in the streets. These were not effective as still nobody understood how rats and fleas spread bubonic plague.* *On the whole, I agree with the statement. There was still a lack understanding of what really caused the disease after 1500, and therefore lots of ineffective action. However, the policy of isolation was significant because although it would not have stopped bubonic plague, it did help to stop pneumonic plague. Whereas government action in the medieval period would have stopped neither.* **Nutshell: Balanced argument; two valid supporting examples from each period OR three from one period and one on the other. Clinching argument = 18 marks**
Level 5 (13-15 marks)	Level 5 answers will typically set out a balanced argument which compares government responses in both periods. Arguments will be explicitly supported by at least three valid examples, e.g. *Overall, I do agree with the statement. Government responses to plague were limited in the medieval period. For example, King Edward III wrote a letter to the Mayor of London in 1349 ordering him to clean the streets. This was not effective because it was based on a belief that the Plague was caused by miasma. The king also ordered bishops to parade through cities, confessing the nation's sins and praying the plague would disappear. Again, this was ineffective due to a lack of understanding of how the plague was really spread.* *On the other hand the government responses to plague after 1500 did have some impact. For example, in 1518 Henry VIII introduced the policy of isolation which caused houses infected with plague to be identified clearly. Mayors in all English towns followed this example and shut up infected houses. This was more effective because pneumonic plague spread by coughing.* **Nutshell: Balanced argument supported by three valid supporting examples (i.e. two from one period and one from the other)**
Level 4 (10-12 marks)	Level 4 answers will typically set out an argument based on government responses in only one period, supported by two valid examples from that period, e.g. *I agree with the statement. Government responses to plague were limited in the medieval period. For example, King Edward III wrote a letter to the Mayor of London in 1349 ordering him to clean the streets. This was not effective because it was based on a belief that the Plague was caused by miasma. The king also ordered bishops to parade through cities, confessing the nation's sins and praying the plague would disappear. Again, this was ineffective due to a lack of understanding of how the plague was really spread.* **Nutshell: One period explained, supported by two examples**

	Alternatively, Level 4 answers will typically set out a balanced argument which compares government responses in both periods, supported by one example from each period, e.g. *Overall, I do agree with the statement. Government responses to plague were limited in the medieval period. For example, King Edward III wrote a letter to the Mayor of London in 1349 ordering him to clean the streets. This was not effective because it was based on a belief that the Plague was caused by miasma. On the other hand the government responses to plague after 1500 did have some impact. For example, in 1518 Henry VIII introduced the policy of isolation which caused houses infected with plague to be identified clearly. Mayors in all English towns followed this example and shut up infected houses. This was more effective because pneumonic plague spread by coughing.* **Nutshell: Both periods explained, supported by one example from each period** **NOTE Answers at L4 may attempt more than two points but only provide explicit supporting evidence for two**
Level 3 (7-9 marks)	Level 3 answers will typically set out an argument based on government responses in only one period, supported by one valid example from that period, e.g. *I agree. Government responses to plague were limited in the medieval period. For example, King Edward III wrote a letter to the Mayor of London in 1349 ordering him to clean the streets. This was not effective because it was based on a belief that the Plague was caused by miasma.* **Nutshell: One period explained, supported by one example** **NOTE Answers at L3 may attempt more than one point but only provide explicit supporting evidence for one**
Level 2 (4-6 marks)	Level 2 answers will typically identify valid reason(s) to support and/or challenge the statement but without full explanation or supporting evidence, e.g. *Yes, I agree because Henry VIII's policy of isolation was effective.* **Nutshell: Identification of reason(s) to support/challenge without full explanation** Alternatively, Level 2 answers will typically describe responses/plague/relevant events without addressing the question of impact/effectiveness, e.g. • *Henry VIII introduced isolation. This meant shutting up houses where there was plague.* • *The Black Death arrived in England in 1348. People did not know what caused the disease and it killed up to 60% of the population.* **Nutshell: Description of responses/ plague/ related events without linking this to the question or without full explanation.** ***NOTE: Responses which describe/explain the impact of responses of the population generally (as opposed to the government responses) should be credited at Level 2 only, e.g. In the medieval period, some people carried around posies of flowers to protect them and this was not effective because plague was not caused by bad smells. / There were Plague Doctors who wore beaks with herbs inside.***
Level 1 (1-3 marks)	Level 1 answers will typically make general and unsupported assertions eg *No, there were responses that were the same in both periods and they were both ineffective.* **Nutshell General/ unsupported assertion(s)**
0 marks	

Question 5*–18 marks **'National government has done more to improve people's health since 1900 than it did during the Industrial Age of 1750-1900'. How far do you agree? Give reasons for your answer.**	
Levels **AO1** Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the periods studied. **Maximum 6 marks** **AO2** Explain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-order historical concepts. **Maximum 12 marks**	**Notes and guidance specific to the question set**
Level 6 (16–18 marks) Demonstrates strong knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show very secure and thorough understanding of them (AO1). Shows sophisticated understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained, consistently focused and convincing explanation and reaching a very well-supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). *There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured.*	*Answers may be awarded some marks at Level 1 if they demonstrate knowledge of public health or government action in the periods.* *It is possible to reach the highest marks either by agreeing or disagreeing or anywhere between, providing the response matches the level description. BUT, to achieve the two highest levels, answers must consider the alternative point of view and both time periods.* *Answers are most likely to show understanding of the second order concepts of causation and consequence and change over time but reward appropriate understanding of any other second order concept.* *Grounds for agreeing include: legislation in 20th century (e.g NHS, immunisation programme, Clean Air Act etc); anti-smoking measures; Housing (e.g Housing Act 1919, demolition of slum housing, introduction of council housing; the laissez-faire attitude of government in 19th century* *Grounds for disagreeing include: without 19th century legislation there would have been nothing to build on in the 20th century; Bazalgette's sewer system had an immediate impact and is still in use today; late 20th century/early 21st century governments have been slow to tackle consequences of increasingly sedentary lifestyles/obesity*
Level 5 (13–15 marks) Demonstrates strong knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). Shows very strong understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained and convincing explanation and reaching a well-supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). *There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured.*	
Level 4 (10–12 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1).Shows strong understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained and generally convincing explanation to reach a supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). *There is a developed line of reasoning which is clear, relevant and logically structured.*	
Level 3 (7–9 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Shows sound understanding of appropriate second order concepts in making a reasonably sustained attempt to explain ideas and reach a supported judgment on the issue in the question (AO2). *There is a line of reasoning presented which is mostly relevant and which has some structure.*	
Level 2 (4–6 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Shows some understanding of appropriate second order concepts managing in a limited way to explain ideas and reach a loosely supported judgment about the issue in the question (AO2). *There is a line of reasoning which has some relevance and which is presented with limited structure.*	
Level 1 (1–3 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period (AO1). Shows some basic understanding of appropriate second order concept(s) but any attempt to explain ideas and reach a judgment on the issue in the question is unclear or lacks historical validity (AO2). *The information is communicated in a basic/unstructured way.*	
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

Question 5* – 18 marks **'National government has done more to improve people's health since 1900 than it did during the Industrial Age of 1750-1900'. How far do you agree? Give reasons for your answer.**	
Guidance and indicative content	
Level 6 (16-18 marks)	Level 6 answers will typically set out an argument which compares government action in both periods. Arguments will be explicitly supported by at least 4 valid examples. For 18 marks, candidates must present a valid clinching argument e.g. *It's true that governments in the 20th century have taken crucial steps to improving people's health. For example, in the early 1900s, the Liberal Party passed a series of laws to tackle poverty, such as National Insurance. This gave certain workers sickness benefits and free medical care if they became ill. These were important because until then, workers would have had to carry on working through illness, or get no pay, which meant they had no chance of affording medical help. Another improvement was the NHS, set up in 1948. This was a huge improvement on what had been available before for most people. Until 1948, about 8 million people had never seen a doctor because they could not afford it. By making health care free to all the NHS massively improved people's health for millions of people.* *However, there were also important improvements before 1900. For example, in 1858, in response to 'the Great Stink', the government ordered Joseph Bazalgette to build 1300 miles of new sewers across London. This had an important impact on people's health because in the next cholera epidemic, fewer people died. The government's 1875 Public Health Act was also important because it forced local councils to clean up the towns providing clean water and collecting rubbish. New houses had to have piped water and sewers. This was a big improvement because before this date, local towns were not compelled to do these things, and most chose not to because they involved raising taxes.* *On the whole, I don't quite agree with the statement. Twentieth century governments were dealing with different problems. National insurance and the NHS may have had a bigger impact overall, and in a shorter period of time, but the government only had the luxury of turning to those problems once the more acute challenges of water supply and waste had been dealt with in the earlier period.* **Nutshell: Balanced argument; two valid supporting examples from each period OR three from one period and one on the other. Clinching argument = 18 marks**
Level 5 (13-15 marks)	Level 5 answers will typically set out a balanced argument which compares government action in both periods. Arguments will be explicitly supported by at least three valid examples, e.g. *It's true that governments in the 20th century have taken crucial steps to improving people's health. For example, in the early 1900s, the Liberal Party passed a series of laws to tackle poverty, such as National Insurance. This gave certain workers sickness benefits and free medical care if they became ill. These were important because until then, workers would have had to carry on working through illness, or get no pay, which meant they had no chance of affording medical help. Another improvement was the NHS, set up in 1948. This was a huge improvement on what had been available before for most people. Until 1948, about 8 million people had never seen a doctor because they could not afford it. By making health care free to all the NHS massively improved people's health for millions of people.* *However, there were also important improvements before 1900. For example, in 1858, in response to 'the Great Stink', the government ordered Joseph Bazalgette to build 1300 miles of new sewers across London. This had an important impact on people's health because in the next cholera epidemic, fewer people died.* **Nutshell: Balanced argument supported by three valid supporting examples (i.e. two from one period and one from the other)**
Level 4 (10-12 marks)	Level 4 answers will typically set out an argument based on government action in only one period, supported by two valid examples from that period, e.g. *It's true that governments in the 20th century have taken crucial steps to improving people's health. For example, in the early 1900s, the Liberal Party passed a series of laws to tackle poverty, such as National Insurance. This gave certain workers sickness benefits and free medical care if they became ill. These were important because until then, workers would have had to carry on working through illness, or get no pay, which meant they had no chance of affording medical help. Another improvement was the NHS, set up in 1948. This was a huge improvement on what had been available before for most people. Until 1948, about 8 million people had never seen a doctor because they could not afford it. By making health care free to all the NHS massively improved people's health for millions of people.* **Nutshell: One period explained, supported by two examples**

	Alternatively, Level 4 answers will typically set out a balanced argument which compares government responses in both periods, supported by one example from each period, e.g. *It's true that governments in the 20th century have taken crucial steps to improving people's health. For example, the NHS was set up in 1948. This was a huge improvement on what had been available before for most people. Until 1948, about 8 million people had never seen a doctor because they could not afford it. By making health care free to all the NHS massively improved people's health for millions of people.However, there were also important improvements before 1900. For example, in 1858, in response to 'the Great Stink', the government ordered Joseph Bazalgette to build 1300 miles of new sewers across London. This had an important impact on people's health because in the next cholera epidemic, fewer people died.* **Nutshell: Both periods explained, supported by one example from each period** **NOTE Answers at L4 may attempt more than two points but only provide explicit supporting evidence for two**
Level 3 (7-9 marks)	Level 3 answers will typically set out an argument based on government action in only one period, supported by one valid example from that period, e.g. *I agree.the NHS was set up in 1948. This was a huge improvement on what had been available before for most people. Until 1948, about 8 million people had never seen a doctor because they could not afford it. By making health care free to all the NHS massively improved people's health for millions.* **Nutshell: One period explained, supported by one example** **NOTE Answers at L3 may attempt more than one point but only provide explicit supporting evidence for one**
Level 2 (4-6 marks)	Level 2 answers will typically identify valid reason(s) to support and/or challenge the statement but without full explanation or supporting evidence, e.g. *Yes, I agree because the NHS improved the health of millions of people.* **Nutshell: Identification of reason(s) to support/challenge without full explanation** Alternatively, Level 2 answers will typically describe government action /relevant events without addressing the question of improvements, e.g. • *The NHS was introduced in 1948 by the Labour government. It gave free medical care.* • *In the 1800s governments were reluctant to take action because people believed it was up to people to look after themselves.* **Nutshell: Description of government action/ related events without linking this to the question or without full explanation.**
Level 1 (1-3 marks)	Level 1 answers will typically make general and unsupported assertions eg *No, governments in both periods tried to help people's health and both led to some improvements.* **Nutshell General/ unsupported assertion(s)**
0 marks	

Section B: Britain in Peace and War, 1900–1918

Question 6a – 3 marks
In Interpretation A, the author tries to give the impression that young men were pressured into joining the army during the First World War. Identify and explain one way in which he does this.

Notes and guidance specific to the question set
Points marking (AO4): 1+1+1. 1 mark for identification of a relevant and appropriate way in which the illustrator portrays wealth and comfort + 1 mark for a basic explanation of this + 1 mark for development of this explanation.
Reminder – This question does not seek evaluation of the given interpretation, just selection of relevant material and analysis of this is relation to the issue in the question. The explanation of how the author tries to give the impression that young men were pressured into joining the army during the First World War may analyse the interpretation or aspects of the interpretation by using the candidate's knowledge of the historical situation portrayed and / or to the method or approach used by the author. Knowledge and understanding of historical context must be intrinsically linked to the analysis of the interpretation in order to be credited. Marks must not be awarded for the demonstration of knowledge or understanding in isolation.

NOTE:

- **One mark is for correct identification of a feature in the interpretation that relates to the question.**
- **For the second 2 marks, the candidate must either: pick out a specific feature in the image and develop the explanation by making two points about it;**
- **OR give 2 examples relating to a more general feature.**

The following answers are indicative. Other appropriates ways and appropriate and accurate explanation should also be credited:
For example:

- *The author describes the impression given by the soldiers and the band (1). The main character comments on their shiny uniforms and the patriotic symbols of the Union Jack. (1) This makes it seem like he is being swept up in the nationalistic enthusiasm of the moment. (1)*
- *The author depicts the sergeant major as intimidating (1). His voice is described as 'commanding' and he points his stick into the crowd (1). This gives the reader the impression that Tommo is scared of not doing what he says (1).*
- *The author gives the impression that everyone is in favour of getting the young men to sign up (1). The crowd all shout 'No' to the sergeant major's question and then an old woman accuses Tommo of being a 'coward' (1). This makes it seem like Tommo is being bullied into joining up (1).*

Question 6b – 5 marks **If you were asked to do further research on one aspect of Interpretation A, what would you choose to investigate? Explain how this would help us to analyse and understand responses to recruitment during the First World War.**	
Levels **AO1** Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the periods studied. **Maximum 2 marks** **AO2** Explain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-order historical concepts. **Maximum 3 marks** **Please note that that while the weightings of AO1 to AO2 are equal in levels 1 and 2, AO2 carries greater weight in level 3.**	**Notes and guidance specific to the question set**
Level 3 (5 marks) The response shows knowledge and understanding of relevant key features and characteristics (AO1). It uses a strong understanding of second order historical concept(s) to explain clearly how further research on the chosen aspect would improve our understanding of the event or situation (AO2).	*Answers may choose to put forward lines of investigation by framing specific enquiry questions but it is possible to achieve full marks without doing this.* *Suggested lines of enquiry / areas for research may be into matters of specific detail or into broader themes but must involve use of second order concepts rather than mere discovery of new information if AO2 marks are to be awarded.* *Examples of areas for further research include: reasons that men volunteered to join the army (causation); reasons for the introduction of conscription in 1916 (causation); reasons that men did not join up or refused conscription later on (causation); what happened to men who did not join up or who refused conscription later on (consequence); how typical this kind of approach was from the army (similarity/difference/diversity); how many men joined up or accepted conscription compared to those who did not (similarity/difference/diversity).*
Level 2 (3–4 marks) The response shows knowledge and understanding of relevant key features and characteristics (AO1). It uses a general understanding of second order historical concept(s) to explain how further research on the chosen aspect would improve our understanding of the event or situation (AO2).	
Level 1 (1–2 mark) The response shows knowledge of features and characteristics (AO1). It shows a basic understanding of second order historical concept(s) and attempts to link these to explanation of how further research on the chosen aspect would improve our understanding of the event or situation (AO2).	
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

Question 6b – 5 marks **If you were asked to do further research on one aspect of Interpretation A, what would you choose to investigate? Explain how this would help us to analyse and understand responses to recruitment during the First World War.**	
Guidance and indicative content	
Level 3 (5 marks)	Answers at L3 will typically identify an impression given in Interpretation A and suggest a valid line of enquiry based on a second order concept into this area. They will explain how this enquiry would increase understanding of responses to recruitment during the First World War e.g. *[Causation]* *Interpretation A suggests that men only joined up to the army because they were bullied into it and influenced by propaganda. I would investigate whether this was the main reason that men joined up or whether there were other reasons too, such as wanting to help. This would help us to understand whether men actively supporting Britain's involvement in the war.* **Nutshell: Valid line of enquiry based on second order concept to compare to an impression given by Interpretation. Indication of how this would improve understanding of responses to recruitment during the First World War.**
Level 2 (3-4 marks)	Answers at L2 will typically identify one or more valid lines of enquiry based on a second order concept and explain how this enquiry would increase understanding of responses to recruitment during the First World War e.g. *[Consequence]* *I would investigate what happened to men as a result of not joining up. This would allow us to see how they were treated by other members of society and therefore how much support for the war there was overall.* *[Causation]* *I would look at the reasons that some men refused to join up. This would allow us to understand whether they were morally against the war or whether it was more for personal reasons such as not wanting to leave businesses or family behind.* **Nutshell: Valid line of enquiry based on second order concept with indication of how this would improve understanding of responses to recruitment during the First World War.**
Level 1 (1–2 marks)	Answers at L1 will identify a valid line of enquiry based on a second order concept (2 marks) eg *[Diversity]* *I would investigate how typical it was for men to actively volunteer compared to those who didn't want to join up.* **Nutshell: Valid line of enquiry about responses to recruitment during the First World War based on second-order concept** Alternatively, L1 answers may identify details from Interpretation A and suggest further investigation into them (1-2 marks) eg *I would look for more information about the role of the sergeant major. It would be interesting to know what his role was in recruitment. [2 marks]* *OR* *I would find out how many men joined up in 1914. [1 mark]* **Nutshell: Find out more about people / events / objects in Interpretation A – not based on second-order concept**
0 marks	

Question 7–12 marks **Interpretations B and C both focus on the People's Budget of 1909. How far do they differ and what might explain any differences?**	
Levels **AO4** Analyse, evaluate and make substantiated judgements about interpretations (including how and why interpretations may differ) in the context of historical events studied. **Maximum 12 marks**	**Notes and guidance specific to the question set**
Level 4 (10–12 marks) Analyses the interpretations and identifies some features appropriate to the task. Offers a very detailed analysis of similarities and/or differences between the interpretations and gives a convincing and valid explanation of reasons why they may differ. There is a convincing and well-substantiated judgment of how far they differ, in terms of detail or in overall message, style or purpose (AO4).	*Answers could consider:* • *Comparison of provenance and source type alone, eg B is from a website for general public, C is by a political party.* • *Individual points of similarity/difference in content eg B says the Budget was a trap for the Lords while C says historians do not think this; B says the revenue raised was not important while C says it gave the Liberals the means to pass their social reforms. Both sources discuss how the Parliament Act took away power from the House of Lords.* • *Differences in the overall portrayal of motives of Budget eg B suggests it was entirely political to tame Lords whereas C suggests the primary aim was social reform.* • *Developed reasons for differences – purpose / audience, eg B is a popular website, trying to generate interest by making the story seem controversial and dramatic; C is positive, less cynical and reverential because writers are talking about their heroes.* *Marks for relevant knowledge and understanding should be awarded for the clarity and confidence with which candidates discuss features, events or issues mentioned or implied in the interpretations. Candidates who introduce extra relevant knowledge or show understanding of related historical issues can be rewarded for this, but it is not a target of the question.* *No reward can be given for wider knowledge of the period that is unrelated to the topic in the question.*
Level 3 (7–9 marks) Analyses the interpretations and identifies some features appropriate to the task. Offers a detailed analysis of similarities and/or differences between the interpretations and gives a valid explanation of reasons why they may differ. There is a generally valid and clear judgment about how far they differ, in terms of detail or in overall message, style or purpose (AO4).	
Level 2 (4–6 marks) Analyses the interpretations and identifies some features appropriate to the task. Offers some valid analysis of differences and/or similarities between the interpretations and gives a reasonable explanation of at least one reason why they may differ, and a basic judgement about how far they differ, in terms of detail or in overall message, style or purpose (AO4).	
Level 1 (1–3 marks) Analyses the interpretations and identifies some features appropriate to the task. Identifies some differences and/or similarities between the interpretations and makes a limited attempt to explain why they may differ. There is either no attempt to assess how far they differ, or there is an assertion about this but it is completely unsupported (AO4).	
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

Question 7–12 marks Interpretations B and C both focus on the People's Budget of 1909. How far do they differ and what might explain any differences?	
Guidance and indicative content	
Level 4 (10-12 marks)	Answers at L4 will typically compare the overall message about or portrayal of the motives for the 1909 Budget. They will support this with relevant reference to the content of the interpretations. They will use the **purpose** of one or both of the interpretations to explain reasons for different portrayals, e.g. *As L3, plus:* *I think the reason that B focuses on the power struggle surrounding the Budget is that it is trying to generate public interest in Edwardian history by making the story seem controversial and dramatic. [10 marks] But C is more positive about the Liberals and less cynical about their motives because the writers are talking about their heroes and want them to appear in a good light. [12 marks]* **Nutshell: Valid comparison of portrayals in B and C, with support. Difference explained with specific purpose of B or C** **NOTE: Award 10-11 marks for candidates who use the purpose of <u>one</u> interpretation to explain difference in portrayals. Award 12 marks for candidates which use the purpose of <u>both</u> interpretations to explain difference in portrayals. Do NOT allow undeveloped comments about provenance at this level, e.g. B is negative because it's a popular website for the general public OR C is positive because it's on the Liberal Democrats' website.**
Level 3 (7-9 marks)	Answers at L3 will typically compare the overall message about or portrayal of the motives for the 1909 Budget. They will support this with relevant reference to the content of the interpretations. Answers at this level may attempt to explain differences using undeveloped comments about provenance e.g. *Interpretation B suggests the Liberals passed the 1911 Budget entirely for political reasons. It says that Lloyd George set a 'trap' for the Lords and basically gave them an excuse to tame the Lords' power when they refused to pass it. It says this was Lloyd George's 'key aim' and suggests the Liberals' social reforms were a bi-product of this power struggle. However, Interpretation C suggests the Liberals were being driven by their battle to achieve social reform which 'formed the foundation of Britain's welfare state'.* **Nutshell: Valid comparison of portrayals in B and C with support from one or both interpretations.** **NOTE: Answers with support from only one interpretation award 7 marks**
Level 2 (4-6 marks)	Answers at L2 will typically use the content of the interpretations to compare individual points of similarity and/or difference e.g. *B says the Budget was a trap for the Lords while C says historians do not think this.* *Both interpretations discuss how the Parliament Act took away power from the House of Lords.* *B says the Budget was 'controversial' and C agrees it was 'important'.* **Nutshell: Selects individual points of similarity or difference** Answers at L2 will typically make a valid comparison of the motives for the 1909 Budget but fail to develop this with relevant support, e.g. *Interpretation B suggests that the Liberals passed the Budget simply to reduce the Lords' power but C says that their main motivation was to get their reforms passed.* **Nutshell: Valid comparison of portrayals with no support**
Level 1 (1–3 marks)	Answers at L1 will typically make simplistic comments about provenance e.g. *They are different because B is from a website for the general public and C is by a political party.* *They are similar because they are both modern interpretations.* . **Nutshell: Comparison of simplistic provenance** Alternatively, answers will explain or paraphrase the portrayal of the motives for the 1909 Budget one interpretation only, with no valid comparison e.g.

	Interpretation C suggests the Liberals were being driven by their battle to achieve social reform which 'formed the foundation of Britain's welfare state'. **Nutshell: Portrayal of the motives for the 1909 Budget in one interpretation explained with no valid comparison**
0 marks	

<table>
<tr><td colspan="2">Question 8*–20 marks
In his 2000 school textbook, The Struggle for Peace in Northern Ireland, author Ben Walsh argued that the main cause of the Home Rule crisis 1912–1914 was the fact that the Liberal government ‘needed the votes of the Nationalist MPs in order to pass their laws.’ How far do you agree with this view?</td></tr>
<tr><td>Levels
AO1 Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the periods studied. Maximum 5 marks
AO2 Explain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-order historical concepts. Maximum 5 marks
AO4 Analyse, evaluate and make substantiated judgements about interpretations in the context of historical events studied. Maximum 10 marks</td><td>Notes and guidance specific to the question set</td></tr>
<tr><td>Level 5 (17–20 marks)
Demonstrates strong knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1).
Shows sophisticated understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained, consistently focused and convincing explanation (AO2).
Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Sets out a sustained, consistently focused and convincing evaluation reaching a well-substantiated judgment about the interpretation (AO4).
There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured.</td><td rowspan="4">Answers may be awarded some marks at Level 1 if they demonstrate any knowledge of British attitudes towards the Empire.
It is possible to reach the highest marks either by agreeing or disagreeing or anywhere between, providing the response matches the Level description. To reach Levels 4 and 5, this must involve considering both the issue of the 1910 election and another reason for the Home Rule crisis.

Answers are most likely to show understanding of the second order concepts of causation (why the Home Rule crisis arose); consequence (the results of these causes); and significance (relative importance of events/individuals in causing the crisis); but reward appropriate understanding of any other second order concept.

Grounds for agreeing include: In the elections of 1910 the Liberals lost their majority and there was a hung Parliament. The Liberals were now dependent on Irish Nationalist support. This greatly increased the influence of the Nationalists. They helped the Liberals get through their welfare reforms and the Parliament Act. In return for their support, the Liberals agreed to grant Home Rule to Ireland and this became law in 1912. However, this led to the crisis because the Unionists would not accept this and they rallied around Carson and Craig in protest, making it clear they would use armed force to resist Home Rule and form their own government in Ulster.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Level 4 (13–16 marks)
Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1).
Shows strong understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained and generally convincing explanation (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Sets out a sustained and generally convincing evaluation reaching a substantiated judgment about the interpretation (AO4).
There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear, relevant and logically structured.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Level 3 (9–12 marks)
Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1).
Shows sound understanding of appropriate second order concepts in making a reasonably sustained attempt to explain ideas (AO2).
Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Sets out a partial evaluation with some explanation of ideas reaching a supported judgment about the interpretation (AO4).
There is a line of reasoning presented which is mostly relevant and which has some structure.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Level 2 (5–8 marks)
Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Shows some understanding of appropriate second order concepts managing in a limited way to explain ideas (AO2).
Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Attempts a basic evaluation with some limited explanation of ideas and a loosely supported judgment about the interpretation (AO4).</td></tr>
</table>

There is a line of reasoning which has some relevance and which is presented with limited structure.	*Grounds for disagreeing include: The importance of other prior or subsequent events in leading to the crisis, eg the growth of Irish nationalism by 1900 and the success of the moderate Irish Parliamentary Party under John Redmond meant that more pressure was applied to the Liberals. Alternatively, it could be argued that it was only the formation of the UVF in 1913 and the Larne gun running which turned opposition to Home Rule into a serious threat; the Irish Volunteers were then formed by the nationalists in retaliation. Another possible argument is that Asquith could have dealt with two private armies had senior British Army officers near Dublin not said they would resign if they were ordered to enforce Home Rule in Ulster (the Curragh Mutiny) – this meant that Asquith could not rely on the British Army.*
Level 1 (1–4 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period (AO1). Shows some basic understanding of appropriate second order concept(s) involved in the issue (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. (AO4) There is either no attempt to evaluate and reach a judgment about the interpretation, or there is an assertion about the interpretation but this lacks any support or historical validity. *The information is communicated in a basic/unstructured way.*	
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

Question 8*–20 marks In his 2000 school textbook, *The Struggle for Peace in Northern Ireland*, author Ben Walsh argued that the main cause of the Home Rule crisis 1912–1914 was the fact that the Liberal government 'needed the votes of the Nationalist MPs in order to pass their laws.' How far do you agree with this view?	
Guidance and indicative content	
Level 5 (17-20 marks)	Level 5 answers will typically set out a balanced argument explicitly supported by at least 4 valid examples. For 20 marks, candidates must present a valid **clinching argument** e.g. *There is a lot evidence to agree with the interpretation. After 1910 the Liberals were dependent on Irish Nationalist support. They helped the Liberals get through their welfare reforms and the Parliament Act. In return for their support, the Liberals agreed to grant Home Rule to Ireland and this became law in 1912. This led to the crisis because the Unionists would not accept this and said they would use armed force to resist Home Rule and form their own government in Ulster.* *However, there is also some evidence to go against this interpretation. For example, Irish nationalism had grown tremendously by 1900, shown by the success of the moderate Irish Parliamentary Party under John Redmond. So more pressure was being applied to the Liberals to pass Home Rule anyway, even without the deal with the Nationalists. Also, it could be argued that it was only the formation of the UVF in 1913 and the Larne gun running which turned opposition to Home Rule into a serious threat because the Irish Volunteers were then formed by the nationalists in retaliation. Finally, it could be argued that it was really only the actions of the British Army that caused an actual crisis. Asquith could have dealt with two private armies had senior British Army officers near not said they would resign if they were ordered to enforce Home Rule in Ulster (the Curragh Mutiny) – this meant that Asquith could not rely on the British Army.* *Overall I think it depends what we mean by 'crisis'. The dependence of the Liberals on the Nationalist MPs certainly led to the Liberals agreeing to pass a Home Rule Bill. However, it was not inevitable that this meant danger of civil war until later events like the Curragh Mutiny followed.* **Nutshell: Balanced argument; two valid supporting examples each side <u>OR</u> three on one side and one on the other. Clinching argument = 20 marks**
Level 4 (13-16 marks)	Level 4 answers will typically construct a balanced or one-sided answer explicitly supported by at least three valid examples e.g. *There is a lot evidence to agree with the interpretation. After 1910 the Liberals were dependent on Irish Nationalist support. They helped the Liberals get through their welfare reforms and the Parliament Act. In return for their support, the Liberals agreed to grant Home Rule to Ireland and this became law in 1912. This led to the crisis because the Unionists would not accept this and said they would use armed force to resist Home Rule and form their own government in Ulster.* *However, there is also some evidence to go against this interpretation. For example, Irish nationalism had grown tremendously by 1900, shown by the success of the moderate Irish Parliamentary Party under John Redmond. So more pressure was being applied to the Liberals to pass Home Rule anyway, even without the deal with the Nationalists. Also, it could be argued that it was only the formation of the UVF in 1913 and the Larne gun running which turned opposition to Home Rule into a serious threat because the Irish Volunteers were then formed by the nationalists in retaliation.* **Nutshell: Balanced or one-sided argument; three explained points of support** **NOTE 1: Answers at L4 may attempt more than three points but only provide explicit supporting evidence for three.** **NOTE 2: It is likely that candidates at this level will attempt a clinching argument but this will be more of a summary or assertion/repetition of earlier arguments.**
Level 3 (9-12 marks)	Level 3 answers will typically construct a one-sided answer explicitly supported by two valid examples e.g. *I don't agree because Irish nationalism had grown tremendously by 1900, shown by the success of the moderate Irish Parliamentary Party under John Redmond. So more pressure was being applied to the Liberals to pass Home Rule anyway, even without the deal with the Nationalists. Also, it could be argued that it was only the formation of the UVF in 1913 and the Larne gun running which turned opposition to Home Rule into a serious threat because the Irish Volunteers were then formed by the nationalists in retaliation.*

	Nutshell: One sided argument, two explained points of support Alternatively, Level 3 answers will construct a balanced argument with each side explicitly supported by one example, e.g. *There is a lot evidence to agree with the interpretation. After 1910 the Liberals were dependent on Irish Nationalist support. They helped the Liberals get through their welfare reforms and the Parliament Act. In return for their support, the Liberals agreed to grant Home Rule to Ireland and this became law in 1912. This led to the crisis because the Unionists would not accept this and said they would use armed force to resist Home Rule and form their own government in Ulster.* *However, there is also some evidence to go against this interpretation. For example, Irish nationalism had grown tremendously by 1900, shown by the success of the moderate Irish Parliamentary Party under John Redmond. So more pressure was being applied to the Liberals to pass Home Rule anyway, even without the deal with the Nationalists.* **Nutshell: Balanced argument; one explained point on each side** **NOTE: Answers at L3 may attempt more than two points but only provide explicit supporting evidence for two**
Level 2 (5-8 marks)	Level 2 answers will typically construct a one-sided argument explicitly supported by one valid example, e.g. *There is a lot evidence to agree with the interpretation. After 1910 the Liberals were dependent on Irish Nationalist support. They helped the Liberals get through their welfare reforms and the Parliament Act. In return for their support, the Liberals agreed to grant Home Rule to Ireland and this became law in 1912. This led to the crisis because the Unionists would not accept this and said they would use armed force to resist Home Rule and form their own government in Ulster.* **Nutshell: One sided argument; one explained point of support** **NOTE: Answers at L2 may attempt more than one point but only provide explicit supporting evidence for one**
Level 1 (1-4 marks)	Level 1 answers will typically identify valid reason(s) to support and/or challenge the interpretation but without full explanation or supporting evidence, e.g. *I agree because after 1910 there was a hung parliament and so the Liberals were dependent on Irish Nationalist support.* **Nutshell: Identification of reason(s) to support/challenge without full explanation** Alternatively, Level 1 answers will typically describe the crisis/ other relevant events OR make general, unsupported assertions e.g. *The Unionists would not accept Home Rule and said they would use armed force to resist it.* *No, a crisis was inevitable anyway because Nationalists and Unionists disagreed with each other.* **Nutshell: Description of the crisis or other related events without linking this to the question OR general, unsupported assertions.**
0 marks	

Question 9*–20 marks **In her podcast on the Historical Association website, historian June Hannam said that in the period 1910 to 1914 'the government was reluctant to take the step of giving women the vote because of women's militancy.' How far do you agree with this view of government responses to the campaign for women's suffrage?**	
Levels **AO1** Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the key features and characteristics of the periods studied. **Maximum 5 marks** **AO2** Explain and analyse historical events and periods studied using second-order historical concepts. **Maximum 5 marks** **AO4** Analyse, evaluate and make substantiated judgements about interpretations in the context of historical events studied. **Maximum 10 marks**	**Notes and guidance specific to the question set**
Level 5 (17–20 marks) Demonstrates strong knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). Shows sophisticated understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained, consistently focused and convincing explanation (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Sets out a sustained, consistently focused and convincing evaluation reaching a well-substantiated judgment about the interpretation (AO4). *There is a well-developed and sustained line of reasoning which is coherent, relevant and logically structured.*	*Answers may be awarded some marks at Level 1 if they demonstrate any knowledge of the nature and extent of support for women's suffrage.* *It is possible to reach the highest marks either by agreeing or disagreeing or anywhere between, providing the response matches the Level description. To reach Levels 4 and 5, this must involve considering both the issue of women's militancy as a reason for the government not granting women the vote, plus another reason.* *Answers are most likely to show understanding of causation (reasons that the government did not grant women the vote); change and continuity (in the methods women were using to campaign for the vote); consequence (impact of militancy and political beliefs); but reward appropriate understanding of any other second order concept.* *NOTE: Responses which describe/explain the impact of militancy upon public opinion only (as opposed to the government between 1910 and 1914) should be credited at Level 1.* *Grounds for agreeing include: . From 1911 onwards, as militancy escalated, each time the issue was raised in parliament, there was an increasing majority opposed to women's suffrage. The government did not want to be seen as giving in to militant tactics, especially as after 1913 public opinion was turning against the WSPU – many influential members were leaving, whilst the NUWSS was growing. There is evidence of a hardening government attitude as the campaign turned more militant, eg force feeding and the Cat and Mouse Act.* *Grounds for disagreeing include: Private Members Bills for giving the vote to women were regularly put to the government before the campaign turned militant, so this cannot have been the only*
Level 4 (13–16 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of the period in ways that show secure understanding of them (AO1). Shows strong understanding of appropriate second order concepts in setting out a sustained and generally convincing explanation (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Sets out a sustained and generally convincing evaluation reaching a substantiated judgment about the interpretation (AO4). *There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear, relevant and logically structured.*	
Level 3 (9–12 marks) Demonstrates sound knowledge of key features and characteristics of period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Shows sound understanding of appropriate second order concepts in making a reasonably sustained attempt to explain ideas (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Sets out a partial evaluation with some explanation of ideas reaching a supported judgment about the interpretation (AO4). *There is a line of reasoning presented which is mostly relevant and which has some structure.*	
Level 2 (5–8 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period in ways that show some understanding of them (AO1). Shows some understanding of appropriate second order concepts managing in a limited way to explain ideas (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. Attempts a basic evaluation with some limited explanation of ideas and a loosely supported judgment about the interpretation (AO4).	

There is a line of reasoning which has some relevance and which is presented with limited structure.	*reason for refusing women the vote; the Liberals were more concerned with pushing through their social reforms than with granting the vote to women; there were political concerns from the Liberal government that enfranchising women on the same basis as men would disadvantage the Liberals in elections as middle class women were more likely to vote Conservative; there remained ideological beliefs about giving women the vote, eg a women's sphere being the home, etc.*
Level 1 (1–4 marks) Demonstrates some knowledge of features and characteristics of the period (AO1). Shows some basic understanding of appropriate second order concept(s) involved in the issue (AO2). Understands and addresses the issue in the question and understands how this is shown in the interpretation e.g. identifying key words, etc. (AO4) There is either no attempt to evaluate and reach a judgment about the interpretation, or there is an assertion about the interpretation but this lacks any support or historical validity. *The information is communicated in a basic/unstructured way.*	
0 marks No response or no response worthy of credit.	

Question 9*–20 marks **In her podcast on the Historical Association website, historian June Hannam said that in the period 1910 to 1914 'the government was reluctant to take the step of giving women the vote because of women's militancy.' How far do you agree with this view of government responses to the campaign for women's suffrage?**	
Guidance and indicative content	
NOTE: Responses which describe/explain the impact of militancy <u>upon public opinion only</u> (as opposed to the government between 1910 and 1914) should be credited at Level 1.	
Level 5 (17-20 marks)	Level 5 answers will typically set out a balanced argument explicitly supported by at least 4 valid examples. For 20 marks, candidates must present a valid **clinching argument** e.g. *There is a lot evidence that to support the interpretation. For example, from 1911 onwards, as militancy escalated, each time the issue was raised in parliament, there was an increasing majority opposed to women's suffrage. The government did not want to be seen as giving in to militant tactics, especially as after 1913 public opinion was turning against the WSPU – many influential members were leaving, whilst the NUWSS was growing. This can be seen in the government's hardening of attitude after the escalation of militancy, for example by passing the Cat and Mouse Act.* *However, there is also lots of evidence to go against the interpretation. For example, Private Members Bills for giving the vote to women were regularly put to the government before the campaign turned militant, and they were rejected, so this cannot have been the only reason for refusing women the vote. One possibility is that the Liberal government were worried that giving women the vote on the same basis as men would disadvantage the them in elections, as middle class women were more likely to vote Conservative.* *Overall I only partly agree with the statement. Whilst militancy was certainly not the only reason that the government did not enfranchise women by 1914, it is definitely the case that as long as the WSPU were carrying out a high-profile militant campaign, the government were never going to give in.* **Nutshell: Balanced argument; two valid supporting examples each side <u>OR</u> three on one side and one on the other. Clinching argument = 20 marks**
Level 4 (13-16 marks)	Level 4 answers will typically construct a balanced or one-sided answer explicitly supported by at least three valid examples e.g. *There is a lot evidence that to support the interpretation. For example, from 1911 onwards, as militancy escalated, each time the issue was raised in parliament, there was an increasing majority opposed to women's suffrage. The government did not want to be seen as giving in to militant tactics, especially as after 1913 public opinion was turning against the WSPU – many influential members were leaving, whilst the NUWSS was growing. This can be seen in the government's hardening of attitude after the escalation of militancy, for example by passing the Cat and Mouse Act. However, there is also evidence to go against the interpretation. For example, Private Members Bills for giving the vote to women were regularly put to the government before the campaign turned militant, and they were rejected, so this cannot have been the only reason for refusing women the vote.* **Nutshell: Balanced or one-sided argument; three explained points of support** **NOTE 1: Answers at L4 may attempt more than three points but only provide explicit supporting evidence for three.** **NOTE 2: It is likely that candidates at this level will attempt a clinching argument but this will be more of a summary or assertion/repetition of earlier arguments.**
Level 3 (9-12 marks)	Level 3 answers will typically construct a one-sided answer explicitly supported by two valid examples e.g. *There is a lot evidence that to support the interpretation. For example, from 1911 onwards, as militancy escalated, each time the issue was raised in parliament, there was an increasing majority opposed to women's suffrage. The government did not want to be seen as giving in to militant tactics, especially as after 1913 public*

	opinion was turning against the WSPU – many influential members were leaving, whilst the NUWSS was growing. This can be seen in the government's hardening of attitude after the escalation of militancy, for example by passing the Cat and Mouse Act. **Nutshell: One sided argument, two explained points of support** Alternatively, Level 3 answers will construct a balanced argument with each side explicitly supported by one example, e.g. *There is a lot evidence that to support the interpretation. For example, from 1911 onwards, as militancy escalated, each time the issue was raised in parliament, there was an increasing majority opposed to women's suffrage. However, there is also evidence to go against the interpretation. For example, Private Members Bills for giving the vote to women were regularly put to the government before the campaign turned militant, and they were rejected, so this cannot have been the only reason for refusing women the vote.* **Nutshell: Balanced argument; one explained point on each side** **NOTE: Answers at L3 may attempt more than two points but only provide explicit supporting evidence for two**
Level 2 (5-8 marks)	Level 2 answers will typically construct a one-sided argument explicitly supported by one valid example, e.g. *I agree because from 1911 onwards, as militancy escalated, each time the issue was raised in parliament, there was an increasing majority opposed to women's suffrage.* **Nutshell: One sided argument; one explained point of support** **NOTE: Answers at L2 may attempt more than one point but only provide explicit supporting evidence for one**
Level 1 (1-4 marks)	Level 1 answers will typically identify valid reason(s) to support and/or challenge the interpretation but without full explanation or supporting evidence, e.g. *No, I don't agree because actually it was more to do with the Liberals thinking they would do badly out of giving women the vote.* **Nutshell: Identification of reason(s) to support/challenge without full explanation** Alternatively, Level 1 answers will typically describe the campaigns/relevant events OR make general, unsupported assertions e.g. *The WSPU used direct action to get their point across. For example, they smashed windows and slashed paintings in art galleries.* *No, women weren't equal so the government were against it anyway.* **Nutshell: Description of campaigns or related events without linking this to the question OR general, unsupported assertions.** ***NOTE: Responses which describe/explain the impact of militancy <u>upon public opinion only</u> (as opposed to the government between 1910 and 1914) should be credited at Level 1.***
0 marks	

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
The Triangle Building
Shaftesbury Road
Cambridge
CB2 8EA

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning
Telephone: 01223 553998
Facsimile: 01223 552627
Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations
is a Company Limited by Guarantee
Registered in England
Registered Office; The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA
Registered Company Number: 3484466
OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
Head office
Telephone: 01223 552552
Facsimile: 01223 552553

© OCR 2019